

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,041	SCHLETH ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alison K. Pickard	3676	

All Participants:

(1) Alison K. Pickard.

(2) Anthony Bennett.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all of record

Claims discussed:

all of record

Prior art documents discussed:

all of record, esp. Woodman

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called with a suggestion to make the claims allowable over Woodman. The examiner suggested adding language to indicate that the inner space is always larger than the outer space. One suggestion was to add "at any point annularly" after "cross-section" in claim 1. The examiner was instructed to do the final rejection..